Executive Summary

The political, security, and humanitarian environment in the Republic of South Sudan remains one of the most complex among fragile states, creating "the largest refugee crisis on the African continent."

South Sudan gained formal independence from Sudan in 2011 and was immersed in a civil war by 2013. A December 2017 cease fire remains in place, yet the continuing violence among the disputing parties and South Sudan’s Transitional Government of National Unity (TGNU) (the national governing body since 2016) indicates that, in practice, the cease fire is not in effect. The UN Secretary-General’s February 2018 report prior to the UNMISS’ mandate renewal stressed:
Violence and instability are, therefore, likely to remain prevalent throughout South Sudan, leading to more atrocities being committed against civilians and the flight of many more to neighbouring [sic] countries. There are now some 1.9 million people displaced within South Sudan, and more than 2 million refugees in neighbouring [sic] countries. More than 5.1 million people, nearly half the population, are severely food insecure. Additional conflict will also lead to more displacement and greater humanitarian needs and will further complicate the delivery of humanitarian assistance.

Accordingly, the Secretary-General asserted:

…the Mission’s strategy to foster “more peace at any level” is the appropriate course of action among a limited range of options.

Major considerations are:

- The East African bloc of nations called the Intergovernmental Authority on Development (IGAD) mediated an “Agreement on Cessation of Hostilities, Protection of Civilians and Humanitarian Access” in late December 2017 between the TGNU and opposition armed groups. Despite the appearance of a cease-fire, the TGNU officials and leaders of the various opposition parties appear uncompromising regarding their political disagreements during the ongoing discussions. Those disagreements revolve primarily around “power-sharing” and other security topics. According to some observers, the resolution of those topics is compounded by the proliferation of “parties” to the deliberations. As one observer noted, “the peace deal [ARCISS] was signed between two parties, but since that time, the opposition has fragmented, making the task of the peace mediators even more challenging…they actually have different positions [than they did in 2015].” Further, the major participants have different perspectives on the Forum’s ultimate purpose. For example, the IGAD, serving as the host for the deliberations, “has made it clear the forum is not a mediation or a new round of peace talks…” Yet many of the opposition groups and much of the other international participants want “a new power-sharing arrangement, which significantly weakens the government’s hand…” In contrast, the TGNU “is quite keen that the existing arrangements are only slightly modified and that they remain in a powerful position.”

- The relationship between the UNMISS mission and the TGNU is tense at its best, and often hostile at its worst. TGNU agencies continue to interfere or disallow UNMISS or humanitarian aid ground and air movement requests or activities, and TGNU (or TGNU-affiliated) elements are often the suspects in kidnappings and beatings of UNMISS or humanitarian aid personnel. At the same time, many opposition groups criticize UNMISS as “pro-government” and propagate those charges through various media outlets.

President Salva Kiir Mayardit (simply referred to as “Salva Kiir”), while participating in cease-fire agreements, seems inclined to maintain his distance from “outside powers.” In December 2017, he indicated that the people of South Sudan should be allowed to solve their problems their own way, saying:

I have always said this thing will not work. People from outside should not impose decisions and our people have always asked me…why we always accept what foreign countries impose on us…we are no longer open to the idea that someone will come to make peace for us. Our peace will be our peace because it will be made by us, for us.
Meanwhile, “aid workers continue to be killed, injured and harassed throughout the country.” According to the UN Secretary-General’s February 2018 report prior to the UNMISS’ mandate renewal:

At least 92 aid workers have been killed since the beginning of the crisis in December 2013, including 25 who were killed in 2017…another 6 NGO workers were killed in an attack on the village of Duk Payuel, in Jonglei [in 2018].

The report further acknowledged, “It is in this challenging situation that UNMISS must chart a course to increase its protection of civilian activities to the maximum extent possible within its existing resources, and to find ways to work with the Government and other parties in order to do so.”

- UNMISS personnel maintain an unfortunate reputation among the population and some humanitarian aid workers. UNMISS failed to prevent the deadliest attack on a Protection of Civilians (PoC) site to date, which killed 30 (and injured more) in Malakal from February 17-18, 2016. Further, despite the subsequent attention applied to the UNMISS negligence in the wake of the Malakal tragedy, UNMISS personnel did not intercede during a July 2016 TGNU soldiers’ attack on predominately ethnic Nuer women and children just outside of their UN camp. At the same time, UN forces did not respond to appeals for protection by several foreign aid workers when their compound was attacked by TGNU soldiers and several workers were beaten, raped, or kidnapped. This disregard to civilian and foreign national protection violated specified tasks in the UNMISS mandate.

Mission Overview

1. Background. Sudan gained its independence in 1956 with the understanding the southern population would participate fully in the political system. However, when the Arab Khartoum government reneged on its promises, a mutiny began with two prolonged periods of conflict (1955-1972 and 1983-2005) in which an estimated 2.5 million people died due to starvation and drought in addition to direct conflict. In January 2005, the Comprehensive Peace Agreement was signed, which granted a six-year period of autonomy for the southern part of Sudan, with a referendum on final status. At the same time, the United Nations Mission in the Sudan (UNMIS) was established by the UN Security Council under Resolution 1590 (2005). The UNMIS tasks were to: support the implementation of the Comprehensive Peace Agreement; perform certain functions relating to humanitarian assistance, protection, promotion of human rights, and support the African Union Mission in Sudan (AMIS). UNMIS’ mandate was concluded in 2011, with its equipment and personnel transferred between United Nations Interim Security Force for Abyei (UNISFA) and UNMISS.

The final referendum was conducted in January 2011 with 99% of voters in favor of secession. Consequently, the Republic of South Sudan achieved independence in July 2011 at the same time the UN Security Council adopted UN Security Council Resolution (UNSCR) 1996(2011), which established the United Nations Mission in the Republic of South Sudan (UNMISS). The original purpose for UNMISS was to support the new South Sudan government in developing its various governmental branches, from political and justice to security.
The current conflict erupted in December 2013 between President Salva Kiir and his First Vice-President Riek Machar Teny Dhurgon (simply referred to as “Riek Machar”), whom Kiir accused of planning a coup d'état against him. While there are important and varied issues to parse, it is essentially a conflict between the Dinka and Nuer ethnicities.

There have been several peace agreements and related cease-fires signed since 2013—all were broken within days or weeks. In 2015, the IGAD—with support from the UN, the U.S. and both the AU and European Union (EU)—facilitated the most recent comprehensive peace agreement, ARCISS. ARCISS established the TGNU which was intended to share government among leaders of the main groups, although smaller groups were not included. Considered by some observers as “hurried and imposed,” the agreement faltered almost immediately for a myriad of reasons. A major issue included (but was not limited to) that no single authority was defined to enforce that the conflicting parties met the peace agreement tenets. Violations of cease-fires continue to occur, with increasingly ethnic and tribal dimensions.

2. Mandate(s). While the UNMISS mandate has been in place since 2011, it was radically changed in 2014 to remove its support for the Government tasks, which was then viewed as a party to the renewed conflict. Another major change occurred with UNSCR 2327(2016), which specified the inclusion of a 4,000 troop-strength Regional Protection Force (RPF), as well as other additional authorizations and requirements designed to more effectively implement the mission’s tasks.

The Security Council renewed the last mandate in December 2017’s UNSCR 2392(2017) for only a few months (until March 2018) “while awaiting the completion of an ongoing strategic review of operations.” The UN Secretary-General’s February 2018 report prior to the UNMISS’ mandate renewal described the ensuing three-month review process as:

...focused on assessing five fundamental issues: the scope of the mandate and the validity of the assumptions underlying its current four pillars (protection of civilians, monitoring and reporting on human rights violations, creating conditions conducive to the delivery of humanitarian assistance and support to the political process); the performance of the Mission in implementing its mandate and how it could be improved; the conditions for achieving a political solution to the conflict in South Sudan; possibilities for

The RPF operates at the direction of the UNMISS Force Commander with a specified task of pro-active protection of civilians as well as the mission personnel. Some advocates initially conceived the RPF as similar to the Force Intervention Brigade (FIB) of the United Nations Organization Stabilization Mission in the Democratic Republic of the Congo (or MONUSCO). However, the only resemblance between the FIB and the RPF is they are “extra” to their respective missions. MONUSCO’s FIB actively seeks to destroy terrorist groups that operate in their mission area. In contrast, UNMISS’ RPF had more limited protection tasks, such as the Juba airfield, or aid distribution routes and points. UNSCR 2406(2018) adjusted the RPF purpose by authorizing it “to use all necessary means, including undertaking robust action where necessary and actively patrolling, to accomplish the RPF mandate.” It gave three specific tasks:

(i) Facilitate the conditions for safe and free movement into, out of, and around Juba, including through protecting the means of ingress and egress from the city and major lines of communication and transport within Juba;

(ii) Protect the airport to ensure the airport remains operational, and protect key facilities in Juba essential to the well-being of the people of Juba, as identified by the Special Representative of the Secretary-General; (and)

(iii) Promptly and effectively engage any actor that is credibly found to be preparing attacks, or engages in attacks, against United Nations protection of civilians’ sites, other United Nations premises, United Nations personnel, international and national humanitarian actors, or civilians.
greater synergies among UNMISS, the United Nations country team and other international partners to achieve our common strategic objectives in South Sudan; and finally, how a viable exit strategy for the Mission would be defined. [emphasis added]

After the review, UNSCR 2406(2018) renewed the UNMISS mandate until 15 March 2019. While the new mandate directs UNMISS to continue its Protection of Civilians and humanitarian assistance facilitation tasks, it also authorizes UNMISS to support the IGAD’s High Level Revitalization Forum and:

...to work proactively to provide technical assistance or advice to government institutions and civil society actors on international humanitarian law, investigation and prosecution of sexual and gender-based violence, in compliance with the UN Human Rights Due Diligence Policy, in order to strengthen protection of civilians and promotion of human rights in South Sudan.

South Sudan protested the UNMISS mandate renewal, claiming “This renewal is not acceptable. It is unfair decision because the government was not consulted.”

Another UN resolution series with significance to South Sudan regards sanctions and similar discretionary bans and prohibitions. UNSCR 2353(2017), originally imposed by UNSCR 2206(2015), was renewed until 31 May 2018. It outlines specified travel bans, freezes assets, and imposes sanctions on selected individuals and government entities. It also maintains the Panel of Experts, comprised of five individuals based in South Sudan, until 30 June 2018.

The Panel mandated tasks include, but not limited to:

- Gather, examine and analyse [sic] information regarding the implementation of the measures…in particular incidents of non-compliance…
- Gather, examine and analyse [sic] information regarding the supply, sale or transfer of arms and related materiel and related military or other assistance, including through illicit trafficking networks, to individuals and entities undermining political processes to reach a final peace agreement or participating in acts that violate international human rights law or international humanitarian law, as applicable…
- Provide…report(s)…of the current security threats facing the Transitional Government of National Unity (TGNU), and its needs to maintain law and order in South Sudan, as well as further analysis on the role of transfers of arms and related materiel coming into South Sudan since the formation of the TGNU with respect to implementation of the Agreement and threats to UNMISS and other UN and international humanitarian personnel; and
- Assist…in refining and updating information on the list of individuals and entities subject to the measures imposed by resolution…including through the provision of identifying information and additional information for the publicly-available narrative summary of reasons for listing.

The United Nations Mine Action Service (UNMAS) is also serving in South Sudan as “an integral component of UNMISS.”

3. Deployment. As of February 2018, UNMISS was second only to the United Nations Organization Stabilization Mission in the Democratic Republic of the Congo (MONUSCO) in size. The new mandate maintains the 17,000 troops (which includes the 4,000-strong RPF) and the 2,101 police personnel (which includes individual police officers, formed police units, and 78 corrections officers).
The largest Troop/Police Contributing Countries (T/PCCs) as of February 2018 includes Rwanda (2,727), India (2,402), Nepal (2,055), Bangladesh (1,617), Ethiopia (1,517), China (1,052), and Ghana (1,016).

The Russian Federation provides 30 personnel to UNMISS, while the United States contribution to UNMISS remains at 13 police or staff officers, of which two are women. The United Kingdom (U.K.) contribution of 376 personnel—of which 38, or 10%, are women—is the largest from the European countries.

A Ghanaian formed police unit (FPU) (170 officers) and an all-female Rwandan FPU were expected in country in February 2018, but there is no indication that they have deployed to date. Until those deployments, there are only 716 women deployed in UNMISS, or 4.9% of the total force and only 3% of the military force.

In February 2018, the UN Secretary-General reported:

Out of the authorized 4,000 regional protection force troops, 1,030 have been inducted to date, including 27 out of the 41-person headquarters element, full complements of the Bangladesh Engineering Unit and the Nepalese High Readiness Company, and the advance company of the Ethiopian infantry battalion. In February, the main body of the Rwandan infantry battalion began arriving in the country and was expected to be fully deployed by early March.

Regarding conduct and performance, in general, the UN Secretary-General’s February 2018 report prior to the UNMISS’ mandate renewal highlighted:

…the quality of response to a protection of civilians challenge or incident greatly depended on the quality of the military or police contingent and its commander. While dedicated investigations have been conducted in response to the most severe incidents of unsatisfactory performance, existing formal mechanisms for assessing the performance of a troop- or police-contributing country have been unsatisfactory. In view of various incidents concerning a lack of performance or a disregard for orders of the Force Commander, it is clear that the current systems need improvement. Some incidents are not reported, or remedial action is not taken, owing primarily to self-censorship within the Force or political sensitivities vis-à-vis the troop- and police-contributing countries.

According to the UN’s database, there have been 38 sexual exploitation and abuse (SEA) allegations against UNMISS personnel (civilians and uniformed) since 2011, involving 35 alleged perpetrators and 66 victims. In 2017, there were only three SEA allegations, in stark contrast to the 12 SEA allegations against UNMISS personnel recorded in 2014. In February 2018, UNMISS received allegations that the Ghanaian Formed Police Unit (FPU)

Currently deployed total (as of February 2018):

- 400 staff officers
- 188 experts
- 1,561 police
- 12,432 troops

The UN repatriated a selected number of UN peacekeeping units and individuals after the February 2016 Malakal UN camp attack. Kenya, which had been a significant TCC for UNMISS, withdrew its troops from the mission after the UN Secretary-General replaced the Kenyan UNMISS force commander, Lieutenant General Johnson Ondiekei. Ondiekei was removed following the release of the UN report of the July 2016 Juba violence, which charged the UNMISS commander with negligence in addressing the PoC task of the mandate. In late January 2017, Kenya agreed to resume its participation in UNMISS following a meeting between the Kenyan president and the (then) newly installed UN Secretary-General António Guterres.

In February 2018, the RPF consists of one infantry battalion each from two IGAD member States. According to the UN Secretary-General’s February 2018 report, the RPF includes “its own enablers, which comprise attack helicopters, two high-readiness companies, a level II hospital, two engineering companies and one unmanned aerial vehicle unit.”

In February 2018, the UN Secretary-General reported:

Out of the authorized 4,000 regional protection force troops, 1,030 have been inducted to date, including 27 out of the 41-person headquarters element, full complements of the Bangladesh Engineering Unit and the Nepalese High Readiness Company, and the advance company of the Ethiopian infantry battalion. In February, the main body of the Rwandan infantry battalion began arriving in the country and was expected to be fully deployed by early March.

Regarding conduct and performance, in general, the UN Secretary-General’s February 2018 report prior to the UNMISS’ mandate renewal highlighted:

…the quality of response to a protection of civilians challenge or incident greatly depended on the quality of the military or police contingent and its commander. While dedicated investigations have been conducted in response to the most severe incidents of unsatisfactory performance, existing formal mechanisms for assessing the performance of a troop- or police-contributing country have been unsatisfactory. In view of various incidents concerning a lack of performance or a disregard for orders of the Force Commander, it is clear that the current systems need improvement. Some incidents are not reported, or remedial action is not taken, owing primarily to self-censorship within the Force or political sensitivities vis-à-vis the troop- and police-contributing countries.

According to the UN’s database, there have been 38 sexual exploitation and abuse (SEA) allegations against UNMISS personnel (civilians and uniformed) since 2011, involving 35 alleged perpetrators and 66 victims. In 2017, there were only three SEA allegations, in stark contrast to the 12 SEA allegations against UNMISS personnel recorded in 2014. In February 2018, UNMISS received allegations that the Ghanaian Formed Police Unit (FPU)

There were 18 alleged SEA perpetrators in UNMISS from 2010-11. Data prior to 2010 is unavailable.
were engaging in sexual activity with women in one of the PoC camps. A preliminary investigation led to the immediate repatriation of the 46-member unit.

In 2017, 62 UNMISS personnel (civilians and uniformed) were alleged to have engaged in “other misconduct,” marking UNMISS as the second most undisciplined UN mission for that year (behind United Nations Organization Stabilization Mission in the Democratic Republic of the Congo, or MONUSCO, with 71 allegations). Of the 62, however, only 14 were uniformed personnel, despite the fact that, in general, uniformed personnel are the vast majority of “other misconduct” allegations. The average number of “other misconduct” allegations per year for the past seven years among uniformed members only (nationality not provided) is 22, with a significant peak of 56 allegations in 2015. In comparison, 110 uniformed members of the earlier UNMIS mission were accused of “other misconduct” from 2005 to 2010—and almost 40% of the total allegations occurred in 2010 prior to transition to UNMISS. Thus far in 2018, there are three allegations recorded (all category 2).

4. Casualties. The UN records its UNMISS fatalities at 52 personnel in the mission’s seven years (since 2011) (as of February 2018). Of the 52 fatalities, just over one quarter (25%, or 13) were due to “malicious acts,” with 42% (or 22) due to illness. This contrasts significantly with the previous mission’s fatalities. In the six years of UNMIS, the mission suffered 60 fatalities. However, only 7% were due to malicious acts and over 68% were due to illness.

The Troop/Police Contributing Country (T/PCC) with the largest fatality number for UNMISS is India, which lost ten peacekeepers in the past seven years, or 19% of the total. The Russian Federation and South Sudan each lost seven (13% each), and Rwanda lost five (10%).

However, the total number of fatalities does not reflect the overall level of violence against UNMISS personnel or facilities, which has included helicopter shoot-downs, convoy and camp attacks, seizures of equipment, and kidnapings of personnel. It also does not include the fatalities attributed to other UN missions in the region, such as the African Union/United Nations Hybrid operation in Darfur (UNAMID; 261 fatalities since 2007) or UNISFA (28 fatalities since 2011).

The deaths of 195 peacekeepers in only four years (2013-17) due to “malicious acts” steered a review of the subject. In January 2018, the UN released its "Cruz Report" which argues that “a lack of leadership and a reluctance to move aggressively against potential attackers are responsible for the worst spate of United Nations peacekeeping fatalities in the organization’s history.” The report identified four broad areas where the UN “must take actions to reduce fatalities”:

(1) Increase personnel awareness of the risks and empower them “to take the initiative to deter, prevent, and respond to attacks”;

The only recorded SEA perpetrator nationalities to date for UNMISS are Ethiopia, Ghana, and Nepal. The UN began to formally record the nationalities of its members accused of SEA misconduct in 2015.

“Other misconduct” may range in behaviors from traffic violations to extortion, embezzlement, and other abuses of authority. They are also categorized by levels (1 or 2), “depending on the risk such incidents would present to the organization.”

The UN Development Program, Malicious Acts Insurance Policy, February 4, 2003, defines “malicious acts” as fatalities as a result of “war; invasion; hostilities; acts of foreign enemies, whether war be declared or not; civil war; revolution; rebellion; insurrection; military or usurped power; riots or civil commotion; sabotage; explosion of war weapons; or terrorist activities.” In contrast, “accidents” is defined to include “stray bullets, friendly fire, and road accidents” and other incidents, such as natural disasters.

The UNAMID Malicious Acts Insurance Policy, February 4, 2003, defines “malicious acts” as fatalities as a result of “war; invasion; hostilities; acts of foreign enemies, whether war be declared or not; civil war; revolution; rebellion; insurrection; military or usurped power; riots or civil commotion; sabotage; explosion of war weapons; or terrorist activities.” In contrast, “accidents” is defined to include “stray bullets, friendly fire, and road accidents” and other incidents, such as natural disasters.
(2) Equip and train personnel “to operate in high-threat environments”; (3) Achieve a “threat sensitive mission footprint,” aligning mission mandates to limit threat exposure; and (4) Ensure leadership accountability to prevent fatalities and injuries.

While many observers applauded the report for its candor, critics highlighted that the report “explicitly sidesteps the fundamental discussion of whether peacekeeping should deploy to such dangerous and problematic environments in the first place.”

**Situation**

5. Drivers of Conflict. In early 2017, one observer noted:

South Sudan is engulfed in a mutually-reinforcing war system that involves more than the two principal players – the government, led by the Sudan People’s Liberation Movement, and the opposition. Several drivers of conflict, some new and others accentuated by the conflict, have emerged – badly managed decentralisation [sic], corruption, marginalisation [sic], ethnic rivalries and exclusionary politics, and unaddressed local grievances...

One year later, nothing much has changed regarding the conflict drivers in South Sudan except that the humanitarian situation is more appalling. While secession from Sudan marked a major milestone and a fresh opportunity for South Sudanese, massive state-corroding corruption, political instability within the ruling party [the Sudan People’s Liberation Movement (SPLM)], and persistent tensions with Sudan over the sharing of oil revenues left South Sudan deeply vulnerable to renewed conflict. Lack of accountability for decades of violence during Sudan's long civil war helped fuel the conflict that erupted in December 2013.

Despite a number of agreements or cease-fires since 2013, the parties seem interested only in escalating the conflict, leading the UN to consider an arms embargo. Both military and political leaders fail to make any serious attempt to reduce abuses committed by their forces, or to hold them to account according to Human Rights Watch 2017 Report. Human rights violations continue, including extrajudicial killings, torture, sexual violence, extrajudicial arrest and detention, abductions, forced displacement, the use and recruitment of children, beatings, looting and the destruction of livelihoods and homes.

In addition to the almost 4 million displaced persons--combining refugee and internally displaced person (IDP) numbers, of which 85 percent are women and children—observers note:

- Nearly 5 million people are severely food insecure. Earlier this year, pockets of the country plunged briefly into famine.
- The fighting has killed tens of thousands of people since it started in late 2013.
- Only 22 percent of South Sudan health facilities are fully operational.
- Some 900,000 children suffer from psychological distress and 2 million are out of school.

6. Significant Events.
a. Recent Events.

- **27 February 2018.** The Security Council received the special report of the Secretary-General on the renewal of UNMISS’ mandate and the findings on the independent review.
- **16 January 2018.** Ceasefire violations on all sides of the conflict are reported.
- **January 2018.** The Secretary-General provides his monthly assessment of the deployment and future requirements of the RPF and impediments to UNMISS in carrying out its mandate.
- **21 December 2017.** The IGAD announced a cease-fire (as part of its “High Level Revitalization Forum”).
- **14 December 2017.** The Security Council voted to renew the mandate of the UNMISS until March 2018 with reauthorization of the Regional Protection Force (RPF).
- **11 November 2017.** The UN Sanctions Committee accuses President Kiir of using food as a weapon of war, targeting civilians by denying them food aid.
- **9 November 2017.** President Kiir announced “free, unimpeded and unhindered movement for humanitarian aid convoys.”
- **24 October 2017.** The U.S. ambassador to the United Nations, Nikki Haley, was evacuated from a UN camp for displaced people in South Sudan because of a demonstration against President Salva Kiir.
- **17 October 2017.** Under-Secretary-General for Peacekeeping Operations Jean-Pierre Lacroix briefed the Council on the deployment of the RPF and any obstructions to UNMISS as well as the general situation in the country, indicating the deployment of Rwandan and Ethiopian battalions was underway.
- **16 - 18 October 2017.** Several opposition groups met in Kenya to harmonize their positions. Participants agreed to principles and a framework document for cooperation and to cease hostilities and negative propaganda towards each other.
- **9 September 2017.** The National Elections Commission announced the start of the pre-election period, aligned with the TGNU’ stated intent to hold elections as outlined in the ARCISS, despite the UN assessment that the situation is not conducive to fair elections.
- **6 September 2017.** The U.S. announced targeted sanctions for three South Sudanese officials for their roles in threatening the peace, security and stability of South Sudan because of a demonstration against President Salva Kiir. Deputy Chief of Defence [sic] and Inspector General of the Sudan People’s Liberation Army (SPLA); Michael Makuei Lueth, Minister of Information, Communications Technology and Postal Services; and Paul Malong Awan, former Chief of General Staff of SPLA).
- **August and September 2017.** Various UN authorities and experts briefed the Security Council regarding several aspects of the UNMISS mandate and the humanitarian, security, and political situation of South Sudan. During this period, the Security Council condemned the ongoing fighting and the TGNU’ obstructions to UNMISS and the RPF.
- **20 - 21 July 2017.** President Kiir blocked access to several media websites and replaced six members of the transitional parliament.
- **20 July 2017.** The Troika (U.S., Norway, and the EU) condemned the TGNU offensive against SPLM-IO near Pagak, which they label a clear violation of the ceasefire agreed to by the Kiir government.
- **July 2017.** [Amnesty International](https://www.amnesty.org) reported that “thousands of South Sudanese have been subjected to sexual violence including rape, gang rape, sexual slavery, sexual mutilation, torture, castration, or forced nudity.”
- **26 June 2017.** The [New Nubia Alliance](https://www.newnubia.org), a group that has fought government forces in Blue Nile and South Kordofan in Sudan, announces plans to ally with the SPLA-North.
- **5 June 2017.** A SPLA-IO breakaway group led by Brigadier General Hillary Edson Yakini signs a ceasefire with the government.
- **22 May 2017.** Following the largely successful late April government counteroffensive, President Kiir announces a unilateral ceasefire and pardon, allegedly to encourage a national dialogue for peace.
- **3 - 5 May 2017.** The Security Council condemned the 3 May attack on UNMISS personnel, expressing appreciation of the efforts taken by peacekeepers to repel the attack.
May 2017. Several rebel groups (NSF, SPLM-IO, and SPLM-DC/NDM) agreed to an alliance against President Kiir, but could not determine a common leader. Meanwhile, President Kiir restructured the military, renaming the SPLA-IG “the South Sudan Defense Forces (SSDF)”. He replaced his Army Chief of Staff (and fellow Dinka tribal member) with another political ally. His former Chief subsequently left Juba with many of the armed forces.

April 2017. The first of the UNMISS RPF peacekeepers under Brigadier General Jean Mupenzi of Rwanda arrived in South Sudan.

b. Upcoming Events.

- April 2018. Scheduled conclusion of the TGNU’s national reconciliation dialogue.
- May 2018. The mandate of the UN's South Sudan sanctions, UNSCR 2353(2017), expires.
- July 2018: According to the peace agreement (ARCISS), the President and Parliamentarian terms were to expire in July 2018, with planned elections. However, the December 2017 UNMISS mandate renewal language indicated:

  …that the parties to the conflict have failed to implement substantive elements of the Agreement on the Resolution of the Conflict in the Republic of South Sudan (the Agreement), and that conditions for credible elections do not presently exist.


Operational Environment (GPMESII)

7. Geographic. South Sudan is hot with seasonal rainfall influenced by the annual shift of the Inter-Tropical Convergence Zone; rainfall is heaviest in the upland areas of the south and diminishes to the north. The terrain gradually rises from plains in the north and center to southern highlands along the border with Uganda and Kenya; the White Nile, flowing north out of the uplands of Central Africa, is the major geographic feature of the country supporting agriculture and extensive wild animal populations.

With almost no access to irrigation, food production is largely determined by rainfall. During the dry season (October to March), fields of six-foot-tall grass are burned, causing haze and falling ash. The dry season always brings the possibility of renewed violence and clashes. While violence continues throughout the rainy season, the dry season makes movement possible again and brings with it renewed insecurity. In the dry season, there is an increased risk of local road blocks and extortion, armed robbery and poor quality roads damaged by the previous rainy season. April is the beginning of what is called “The Hunger Gap,” as the previous year’s food stores run thin and the next harvest is not until September.

8. Political. Continued conflict deprives South Sudan of a viable political system.

The Republic of South Sudan recognizes July 9th as its Independence Day. In July 2011, South Sudan was declared a presidential republic with former General Salva Kiir Mayardit (called Kiir) as its first elected president. Kiir quickly apportioned power among the many military factions, including himself and his Vice-President, Dr. Riek Machar Teny Dhurgon (called Machar). Other military leaders became
governors of South Sudan’s ten states. In 2013, Machar declared his intention to run for president of the party (and, in effect, the president of the nation) for the projected 2015 elections. At this time, Kiir alleged that Machar was planning a coup d’état, so he dismissed the entire cabinet.

While this political crisis involves a multiplicity of domestic, regional and international players with diverse interests and objectives, it is essentially a conflict between two ethnicities, the Dinkas (represented by Kiir) and the Nuers (represented by Machar). Key ministries in Kiir’s government are in the hands of ethnic Dinkas. Machar remains in control of the ethnic Nuer led Sudan People’s Liberation Movement/Army-in Opposition forces. In 2015, the opposing parties met and came to agreement (ARCISS). One of the tenets of the agreement included the return of Machar to the vice-presidency. However, peace implementation stalled when President Kiir further divided the country into 28 states (not recognized internationally), instead of maintaining the original ten states that the peace agreements were based on.

Machar did not return to his position until April 2016, despite entreaties from his government peers and the international community, because of security concerns for himself. His concerns were validated in the Juba violence in July 2016. In August 2016, President Kiir once again removed Machar as First Vice-President, and replaced him with Taban Deng Gai, a former peace negotiator.

The SPLM was the ruling party as South Sudan gained independence from Sudan. It fractured beginning in 2013 into three major parts: the Sudan People’s Liberation Movement (SPLM) led by President Kiir; the Sudan People’s Liberation Movement-In Opposition (SPLM-IO) led by Dr. Machar; and the SPLM-Democratic Change (since renamed “Democratic Change”) led by Onyoti Adigo Nyikwec.

Another emerging party from the fractured SPLM is the SPLM Former Detainees, or FDs. One reference describes the FDs as “a group of high-level South Sudan ruling party (SPLM) leaders who were arrested but later released at the start of the country’s civil war in December 2013…(then)…went into exile in the neighbouring [sic] countries.” While many of them now hold positions in the current government, this group advocates for the removal of both Kiir and Machar from any future government positions, suggesting they are “the main protagonists whose actions are holding the country hostage out of fears of being held accountable for the crimes their forces committed during the current civil war.”

Despite a 2017 Egypt-facilitated agreement between the SPLM-IG and the SPLM-FDs, the continued involvement of the SPLM-FDs in current deliberations confounds other members of the ruling government. Representatives indicate they will not participate in sessions with the SPLM-FDs “because they are not a rebel group but part of the ruling coalition.”

Meanwhile, the planned 2015 elections were postponed to 2018. While the TGNU indicates readiness for those elections, the UN and other international and regional observers express concern. As many have observed, “Holding elections in South Sudan in the absence of viable peace process and humanitarian program will in all likelihood only deepen the conflict” because:
Elections taking place in the presence of armed opposition groups invites the violent contestation of results, entrenches disenfranchisement, and undermines the legitimacy of the government even further. (As example) Angola’s attempt to hold elections in 1992, without disarming insurgents of the National Union for the Total Independence of Angola or the government’s Popular Movement for the Liberation of Angola ended in a return to war within four months. Similarly, violence broke out after the 2006 election in the Democratic Republic of the Congo (DRC), when armed supporters of Jean-Pierre Bemba protested his loss.

However, regardless of international community “doubts about the feasibility of holding peaceful elections” in South Sudan, President Kiir remains adamant “not least because he wants to avoid a situation where he will be accused of having no legitimacy when the transitional government’s term ended…”

Suffrage is universal for citizens over 18 years of age. However, among the electoral readiness concerns is the South Sudan’s definition of citizenship. At this time, citizenship is authorized only by descent (one parent must be a citizen). Furthermore, the refugee and IDP crisis complicates the means for appropriate identification.

9. Military/Security. Almost immediately following Vice President Machar’s first removal from power, the government’s military splintered along ethnic lines: Dinka soldiers remained loyal to President Kiir, and others remained loyal to Machar, a Nuer. In addition to a divided national armed forces, South Sudan has over 40 militia groups that act on their own initiative in defense of their own interests, but are also influenced by national elites vying for power.

The UN Secretary-General’s February 2018 report prior to the UNMISS’ mandate renewal commented: “The opposition is fragmented and internally divided. South Sudan has also become a flashpoint where regional geopolitical tensions and competition converge, further undermining prospects for peace.”

For several years, UN officials have suggested an arms embargo for South Sudan. In 2014, the government bought at least four Mi-24 attack helicopters from a private Ukrainian company at a cost of nearly $43 million, after which the opposition rebels attempted to acquire shoulder-fired anti-aircraft missiles. Independent sources told a UN panel: “…there is a “standing unwritten agreement” whereby Uganda acts as a regional conduit for transfers of arms and ammunition. Israeli Micro Galil rifles seen in Upper Nile State, for instance, were originally exported by Israel to Uganda in 2007, but were subsequently sold on to the South Sudanese…although opposition forces were also using ammunition originating in China and the former Soviet Union.” In March 2018, the European Union (EU) indicated its willingness to impose an arms embargo unilaterally.

Key actors are:

a. National Military Forces. The security situation is one of divided loyalties, weak chains of command, generals controlling their own private fiefdoms, and fractured opposition to President Kiir, himself a former guerrilla commander who promised to unite the military forces by May 2016 and make it “completely subordinate to the authority of a civilian government.” It did not happen. A recent report outlines massive corruption within the national army that includes “procurement fraud, irregular spending unchecked by civilian authority, and bloated troop rosters.”

There are essentially two national-level military forces, separated along ethnic/tribal and political affiliations:

One of the SPLA’s spokesperson denied corruption charges as “baseless” and “mere propaganda” intended “to tarnish the institutional image of the South Sudanese army.”
• **Sudan People's Liberation Army (SPLA).** The SPLA, still associated with President Kiir, has divided loyalties. Allegations of human rights abuses specifically targeting civilians has marred any real reform or accountability. Actual SPLA strength is unknown. The SPLA was responsible for shooting down a UN helicopter in 2012 (and possibly again in 2014) and targeting UN humanitarian aid contractors repeatedly since 2014.

Allegations against the Army Chief of Staff General Paul Malong serve as an example of the fracturing of the current government. A former colleague of President Kiir, he was placed under house arrest in May 2017 after several other senior military generals resigned while alleging abuse and ethnic bias against Kiir. By November 2017, Kiir sent Malong to exile in Kenya while other Malong associates began to join the rebels and other opposition armed groups. Lately, the TGNU accused Malong as “being behind a series of attacks.”

• **Sudan People's Liberation Movement/Army in Opposition (SPLM/A-IO).** Despite the single name, the SPLM/A-IO is *not a unified group.* The chain of command is weak, with Riek Machar as political leader and various other individuals as military leaders. They lead an increasingly organized but disparate collection of defected SPLA units and community based, largely Nuer militias.

Also referred to as the **South Sudan Defense Forces (SSDF),** 18 years is the legal minimum age for compulsory and voluntary military service in the national armed forces. However, while the government signed agreements regarding the demobilization of all child soldiers within the armed forces and opposition, the recruitment of child soldiers by all parties continues. The UN estimates that more than 17,000 child soldiers have participated in the conflict in the past four years.

b. Non-State Armed Groups.

• **Local Militia:** Local communities, often well-armed and not involved in elite politics, engage in the conflict on their own terms. Armed youth from different ethnic groups mobilize and respond to attacks against community members elsewhere in a widening circle of reprisal and revenge according to Human Rights Watch. These fighters do not directly report to any political leader.

• **Organized Criminal Elements (Domestic and Transnational):** Both organized crime and “opportunity crime” exists at all levels of society and in many forms.

c. Other International Actors. Beyond the UN and its UNMISS, there are many other international actors and organizations as well as regional neighbors interested in the long-term stability—or instability—of South Sudan (albeit within their own national or regional concerns). The following list is not complete, but provides examples:

• **Regional Neighbors.**

**Central African Republic (CAR).** The border between South Sudan and the CAR has “violent skirmishes” over water and grazing rights.

**Kenya.** Kenya plays a prominent regional role regarding counter-terrorism efforts and President Kenyatta also actively participated in peace negotiations in South Sudan. Kenya also administers the "Ilemi Triangle," the boundary that separates Kenya and South Sudan.
**Ethiopia.** Ethiopia and South Sudan engaged in a "diplomatic row" based on stories that President Salva Kiir has allowed Ethiopian rebels to open their office in the capital Juba. In addition, issues of water allocation (regarding the Ethiopian Renaissance Dam) further complicate diplomatic relations between the two countries.

**Sudan.** Sudanese Armed Forces (SAF) and SPLA have skirmished along the Sudan – South Sudan border, especially in the contested Abyei region. Sudan has bombed towns in South Sudan, especially in oil producing areas such as Unity State in South Sudan. Because Uganda and Sudan are regional rivals, Sudan has supported SPLM/A-IO to counter Ugandan influence. Sudanese rebels have also supported the SPLA.

**Uganda.** Uganda has a long relationship with the SPLA, including decades of joint military deployments. When conflict broke out in December 2013, the Ugandan People’s Defense Force (UPDF) was deployed beside the SPLA as part of a regional force to counter the Lord’s Resistance Army (LRA). However, Uganda also has a relationship with the SPLM/A-IO that was reinforced in a 2016 meeting between Machar and the President of Uganda. Therefore, UPDF is considered a destabilizing force, with a history of atrocities against South Sudanese civilians and human rights abuses. In fact, until recently, the UPDF presence in South Sudan may have kept Machar and the SPLM/A-IO from the peace talks. After the adoption of UNSCR 2304, Uganda announced that it would not contribute any troops to the RPF. However, it does maintains a "heavy" deployment along the border. In March 2018, a Ugandan soldier was killed during a raid by gunmen stealing cattle.

Uganda is also the temporary home for almost 1.4 million refugees in fourteen camps. However, in February 2018, the UN accused Ugandan government refugee camp officials of "vastly inflating" the number of refugees they must feed, clothe, and shelter. For example, an inspected sector allegedly housed 26,000 refugees, but the UN workers only found 7,000.

- **International Organizations.**

  **African Union (AU).** The AU remains engaged in promoting and facilitating security and political reconciliation in South Sudan. In early July 2016, the AU proposed a regional protection force to deploy to South Sudan with a more robust mandate than the UN peacekeeping mission currently there, similar to the 3,000 strong Force Intervention Brigade deployed within the UN's mission in the Democratic Republic of Congo. This concept was included in the UNMISS mandate revision of the same year.

  **European Union (EU).** The EU remains engaged in the South Sudan in a variety of committees and programs. **EU humanitarian funding** for South Sudan was €192 million in 2017, which includes €70 million for neighboring countries.

  **Intergovernmental Authority on Development (IGAD).** The IGAD served as a peace facilitator in December 2013, establishing envoys to mediate talks in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. The members of IGAD are Djibouti, Eritrea, Ethiopia, Somalia, Sudan, South Sudan, Uganda, and Kenya. In early years of the current conflict, IGAD sent a Protection and Deterrence Force (PDF) as part of the ceasefire Monitoring and Verification Mechanism (MVM), but the mandate was not clear. Some members wanted to secure the oil fields; others to enforce cease fire. IGAD struggled to operationalize the MVM, leaving many to question the feasibility of an IGAD force. In addition, Ugandan participation in the PDF (as a member of IGAD) undermined its neutrality.

  In December 2017, the IGAD initiated the **High-Level Revitalization Forum (HLRF)** to re-energize the ARCSS agreed to by all key parties in 2015.
10. Economic. While South Sudan is rich in oil resources, the ongoing conflict continues to stifle production. Decreased production combined with the drop in global oil prices has reduced government revenues. Years of conflict, poor infrastructure, and an unskilled workforce have kept the impoverished country as one of the poorest in the world. Electricity is powered largely through costly and inefficient diesel generators. Most of the country survives by sustenance farming; soil is exceptionally fertile. Oil makes up over 90% of the Governments budget revenues, but it is difficult to fully leverage these resources with limited infrastructure, unskilled labor, and the oil companies’ aversion to risk. The recent downturn of the global oil market contributes to marked economic depression. In addition, the government intermittently shuts down oil production due to bilateral disagreements with Sudan and management incompetency.

The UN Secretary-General’s February 2018 report prior to the UNMISS’ mandate renewal stated:

…the economic situation in South Sudan is expected to continue to deteriorate, owing to minimal revenue and sparse external support. The army and security agencies have not received salaries for several months. Criminality is increasing and adding to the prevailing insecurity. Civil servant arrears are accumulating and many public sector employees are no longer reporting for work. Juba continues to function as the only real monetized economy in the country, kept afloat largely by diplomatic, United Nations and international non-governmental organizations employment as well as diaspora remittances and private investments. In the World Bank assessment of the economy of South Sudan, an exceptionally bleak situation is predicted — with minimal governmental and institutional capacity, falling oil production and prices, contraction of the gross domestic product, an extreme poverty rate, rising food prices and falling food production, as well as high infant and maternal mortality rates. South Sudan is ranked 181 of 188 countries in the human development index. The survival of its population will, therefore, require massive levels of international assistance for the foreseeable future.

In March 2018, the U.S. imposed trade restrictions on 15 South Sudanese oil companies with ties directly to the TGNU or to individuals working within the TGNU in an attempt to curtail the use of oil revenues for weapons.

11. Social. South Sudan is very diverse, with major ethnicities of Dinka 35.8% and Nuer 15.6%. Other groups are Shilluk, Azande, Bari, Kakwa, Kuku, Murle, Mandari, Didinga, Ndogo, Bviri, Lndi, Anuak, Bongo, Lango, Dungotona, and Acholi. Some population segments are migrant and fleeing violence. The many languages include English (official language), Arabic (including Juba and Sudanese variants) and regional languages which include Dinka, Nuer, Bari, Zande, and Shilluk. The main religions are Christian and animist.

Over 60% of the population is under the age of 25, and the median age of the entire population is only 17 years.

The risk of disease is very high and includes all types of food/water/vector/animal-borne illnesses. 43% of the population live in areas with unimproved water sources; 93% live with amongst unimproved sanitation. Further:

Most of the population lives off of farming, while smaller numbers rely on animal husbandry; more than 80% of the populace lives in rural areas. The maternal mortality rate is among the world’s highest for a variety
of reasons, including a shortage of health care workers, facilities, and supplies; poor roads and a lack of transport; and cultural beliefs that prevent women from seeking obstetric care. Most women marry and start having children early, giving birth at home with the assistance of traditional birth attendants, who are unable to handle complications.

Educational attainment is extremely poor due to the lack of schools, qualified teachers, and materials. Less than a third of the population is literate (the rate is even lower among women), and half live below the poverty line. Teachers and students are also struggling with the switch from Arabic to English as the language of instruction. Many adults missed out on schooling because of warfare and displacement.

a. Rule of Law. South Sudan has ignored the rule of law in the past, and does not recognize the International Criminal Court (ICC). The African Union, as part of the peace process, proposed a special court to bring those suspected of war crimes to trial. The Commission of Inquiry, formed in 2014 under the chairmanship of Nigeria’s ex-President Olusegun Obasanjo, found evidence that both sides of the conflict in South Sudan had been involved in murder, torture, looting, and sexual violence. However, the hybrid court envisioned in 2015’s ARCISS was not approved by South Sudan’s council of ministers until December 2017.

Meanwhile, the UN Secretary-General February 2018 (November 2017-February 2018) report stated:

Conditions of detention and prison facilities remain extremely poor, in contravention of international standards, with cases of prolonged, arbitrary and proxy detention…UNMISS recorded 86 cases of prolonged and arbitrary detention. Overcrowding remains a key concern inside the facility, which, as at the end of January 2018, accommodated over 400 prisoners and detainees against a reported capacity of 150…UNMISS (also) identified 65 pretrial detainees, including 8 male minors and 2 adult women, 9 of whose remand warrants had expired. Accountability for crimes and violations committed in connection with the conflict and other human rights violations and abuses remains of concern. On 14 December, the President issued a decree promoting to positions within the SPLA leadership three officials who are on the sanctions list developed pursuant to Security Council resolution 2206 (2015). On 9 February, the Special Tribunal constituted by the SPLA General Court Martial announced the postponement of verdicts in the trial of 11 SPLA soldiers charged with various crimes and human rights violations at the Terrain Hotel compound in July 2016.

b. Human Rights. The UN Secretary-General’s February 2018 report prior to the UNMISS’ mandate renewal pronounced:

The Security Council has mandated that monitoring and reporting mechanisms continue to verify cases of child recruitment, use, abduction and other grave violations directly affecting some 100,000 children since the beginning of the conflict. More than 19,000 children are estimated to have been recruited and used by armed actors since the beginning of the conflict, up from an estimate of 17,000 in 2016…Human rights violations in South Sudan continue as a consequence of the conflict. The extreme cruelty of attacks against civilians has caused mass displacement on an unprecedented scale. The conflict has also spread to previously peaceful regions of the country and exacerbated ethnic tensions. The full extent of conflict-related sexual violence in the country remains unclear, but it is decidedly one of the prominent features of the crisis. Grave violations against the rights of children, including child recruitment and use, also continue unabated…A particularly egregious feature of the human rights situation in South Sudan is that human rights defenders and journalists are subjected to constant surveillance and harassment. They operate in a climate of fear, often finding themselves under threat or risk of being arbitrarily detained, or forced into exile…State security forces are responsible for a disproportionately large part of the violations of human rights and international humanitarian law recorded by UNMISS, and they currently pose the single biggest threat to the protection of civilians in the country.
In accordance with more recent reports, nothing has changed – violence and human rights abuses abound from all parties to the conflict. The UN Secretary-General’s [February 2018 (November 2017-February 2018) report](#):

The human rights environment in South Sudan remained poor. Human rights abuses and violations of international humanitarian law, including killings, conflict related sexual violence and civilian displacement, continued throughout the country. During the reporting period, UNMISS verified the killing of at least 148 civilians, including 9 women, and at least 41 injury cases, including 11 women. There are strong indications that actual numbers are much higher, but insecurity and denial of access continue to hamper investigations of alleged human rights violations and abuses. During the reporting period, UNMISS human rights officers encountered delays and denials of access by SPLA, SPLA in Opposition forces and a county commissioner. UNMISS documented 19 incidents of conflict-related sexual violence affecting a total of 24 female victims, including 11 children. Incidents comprised 10 cases of rape, 8 cases of gang rape and 1 attempted rape. Ten incidents were attributed to SPLA, six to the pro-Machar SPLM/A in Opposition, two to unidentified gunmen and one to the National Security Service.

b. Humanitarian Assistance. The UN Secretary-General’s February 2018 [report prior to the UNMISS’ mandate renewal](#) stated:

The humanitarian situation in South Sudan is grim. The compounding effects of widespread violence and sustained economic decline have further diminished the capacity of people to face threats to their health, safety and livelihoods. Humanitarian organizations predict that at least 7 million people throughout South Sudan will require some form of humanitarian assistance in 2018, that is to say, over 60 per cent of the population. Moreover, 60 per cent of those in need are children. The Greater Equatoria, the traditional breadbasket of the country, are now beset by conflict, severely restricting the country’s ability to produce its own food and potentially affecting the main supply routes from Kenya and Uganda. While the humanitarian crisis deepens, the operating environment for humanitarian agencies is becoming increasingly complex and dangerous. Notwithstanding a November 2017 Presidential order granting unrestricted access, humanitarian actors face a range of factors that limit it: security conditions, impassable roads and blockage by armed forces at the local level on the part of both SPLA and opposition factions, as well as administrative impediments. Aid workers continue to be killed, injured and harassed throughout the country. At least 92 aid workers have been killed since the beginning of the crisis in December 2013, including 25 who were killed in 2017. While the review team was in South Sudan, another 6 NGO workers were killed in an attack on the village of Duk Payuel, in Jonglei.

Further, in February 2018, the Integrated Phase Classification (IPC) analysis estimated that 6.3 million people, or 57 per cent of the population of South Sudan, [severely food insecure](#) from February to April 2018.
12. **Information.** Radio is an effective news outlet for spreading information as people in the countryside still have access to radio. UNMISS-sponsored **Radio Maraya** is the most accessible and popular station. However, various armed factions continue to intimidate and detain journalists. In 2017, “at least 20 members of the foreign press were prevented from entering or kicked out of South Sudan...Local journalists have been detained, beaten, threatened and denied access to information and newspapers are censored...” In early March 2018, the TGNU suspended the UNMISS radio station for "persistent non-compliance" while acknowledging its inability to actually close the station as it is located on the UN Base in Juba. Reporters without Borders ranked South Sudan 145th out of 180 in 2017.

13. **Infrastructure.** Most of the country does not have electricity. One railway, repaired with UN funding, is 200+ km long. The few roads in the country are in **disrepair**, although USAID has contributed funding to infrastructure and road repair in the south. According to USAID, South Sudan lacks all aspects of physical infrastructure needed to support an efficient, productive economy. This dearth of infrastructure prevents many areas from becoming economically viable, leaving communities vulnerable to resource conflicts and food insecurity. UNMISS forces **repaired airports and runways** to facilitate use for mission tasks and humanitarian aid. During the rainy season many can only be reached by food air drops. Poor roads make sustainment difficult and airlift is expensive and occasionally dangerous, as evidenced with the downing of some UN helicopters. In 2015, the United Kingdom donated a C-130 to UNMISS, doubling UNMISS air sustainment capability.

**Peace Operations Functions**

14. **Command and Control.** UNMISS is composed of military, police, international civilians, local staff, and UN volunteers, supervised by:

- Special Representative of the Secretary-General (SRSG) for South Sudan and Head of the United Nations Mission in the Republic of South Sudan (UNMISS): **Mr. David Shearer (New Zealand)**
- Deputy Special Representative (Political): **Moustapha Soumaré (Mali)**
- Deputy Special Representative of the Secretary-General, UN Resident Coordinator, and Humanitarian Coordinator and Resident Representative of UNDP: **Alain Noudéhou (Benin)**
- Force Commander: **Lieutenant General Frank Mushyo Kamanzi (Rwanda)**
- Police Commissioner: **Bruce Munyambo (Rwanda)**

15. **Intelligence.** Lack of adequate intelligence adversely affects UNMISS ability to protect civilians. One previous UNMISS Force Commander called his intelligence capability a “limitation” hampering UNMISS ability to respond when civilians are threatened. UNMISS had no understanding the events of December 2013 or July 2016 would unfold as violently, having no early warning system or information gathering capability. Recent mandates called for establishing these capabilities.

16. **Operations.** Regarding operational issues, the UN Secretary-General’s February 2018 report prior to the UNMISS’ mandate renewal stated:

> There will never be enough troops to protect both the protection of civilians sites and extend the protection footprint of UNMISS to other areas of large displacements, in a country as large as South Sudan. Increasing the effectiveness of protection efforts beyond the protection of civilians sites is a major priority of the Mission...Efforts on the part of the Special Representative of the Secretary-General and the Force Commander to make the Force more robust, nimble and proactive, in particular by projecting their protection activities beyond the sites, are beginning to make an impact...One challenge for the Mission is that many humanitarian organizations, in particular NGOs, operate in areas in which the United Nations has an infrequent presence, or none at all. A planned expansion of UNMISS into Equatoria will partially fill this gap
and increase the Mission’s ability to further support humanitarian operations…UNMISS has been characterized as a key enabler of the massive humanitarian operation and essential to humanitarian actors delivering aid.

17. Protection.

a. Mission Protection. While UNMISS casualties are less than those experienced in other UN Missions, it is a hostile and insecure environment for UN personnel and other aid, humanitarian, and development agency personnel. A significant, if not a majority, of the UNMISS uniformed personnel are dedicated to mission protection.

The UN Secretary-General’s February 2018 report prior to the UNMISS’ mandate renewal indicated:

…with approximately 50 per cent of the military resources deployed in securing the protection of civilians sites, only a maximum of 20 per cent of troop strength is available for outward projection of force, supporting humanitarian operations and other mandated tasks; the remainder are being utilized to secure United Nations bases and support activities…

b. Protection of Civilians. As of March 2018, UNMISS had 202,776 civilians seeking safety at its six Protection of Civilians (PoC) sites. Providing protection and relief to civilians as a result of conflict was unanticipated in the original UN mission set. When UNMIS (precursor to UNMISS) opened its camps to let in the civilians fleeing conflict, some parties and actors viewed the UN personnel as pro-government. UNMISS had intended for its PoC sites to be a short-term solution to a temporary crisis, however, the sites became settlements of sorts for IDPs. Yet, the living spaces were not proportionately expanded and sanitation and water services were not sufficiently improved, posing major health and protection risks, including the potential for outbreaks of communicable diseases like cholera, and both internal (to camps) and external security threats.

The UN Secretary-General’s February 2018 report prior to the UNMISS’ mandate renewal indicated:

The operation of the protection of civilians sites continues to dominate the related activities of UNMISS. By a conservative estimate, 50 per cent of the Mission’s efforts, in time, money, staffing and energy, are devoted to managing and protecting those sites. At least 5 of the 12 infantry battalions are engaged in protection of civilians site security, as are five formed police units and nearly 400 individual police officers…while the vast majority of the people in the protection of civilians sites are there for their physical protection, the sites present their own sets of problems. Some sites have become highly politicized, characterized by the activities of competing gangs and the smuggling of contraband goods as well as a level of criminality that is extremely difficult to manage without adequate resources, notwithstanding sustained efforts to improve security. The sites also remain a point of friction with the Government, which claims that they provide refuge to elements of the armed opposition. Notwithstanding UNMISS efforts, sexual and gender-based violence remain a serious problem at the sites, where, in some cases, approximately 80 per cent of the population is made up of women and children

18. Sustainment. Poor infrastructure, desert environment, and violence all contribute to UNMISS sustainment challenges.
Financially, the UN sustains UNMISS at the appropriated amount of $1,071,000,000 from 1 July 2017 to 30 June 2018, as authorized by the General Assembly. In addition, as of 10 November 2017, unpaid assessed contributions to the UNMISS Special Account amounted to $120.5 million.

**UN Mine Action Service (UNMAS)** in South Sudan receives funding from the UN Peacekeeping Assessed Budget, in addition to funding from the Government of Japan through the UN Voluntary Trust Fund for Mine Action.

**USAID-funded Promoting Resiliency through Ongoing Participatory Engagement and Learning (PROPEL)** implements a program that brings together communities and strengthening their capacity to drive their own development, leveraging other donor-funded programs, and advocating for additional support to implement projects that address priority needs. PROPEL promotes social cohesion and resilience within South Sudanese communities while providing tangible improvements in the lives of their residents and laying the groundwork for cooperation between them and the government.

**Issues and Considerations**

19. **Issues.** The major issues confronting UNMISS in South Sudan remain unchanged and are summarized as follows:

- Multiple threats and actors involved in the conflict hampers effective protection strategies; priority threats are not easily understood and UNMISS’ ability to collect and process intelligence is still limited.
- The roots of this conflict are embedded in the social and political fabric of many communities, from the 1990s. Historical tensions are easily inflamed.
- Leaders have demonstrated little interest in anything other than preserving power, making a political solution difficult.
- While all armed actors have formally committed to allowing humanitarian assistance to civilians in need, challenges remain with increasing limits placed on access, bureaucratic impediments, looting of supplies and equipment, violence against aid workers, concerns about the association of humanitarians with UNMISS, and the rainy season.

20. **Considerations for the Future.**

a. **U.S.** In early 2017, all parties in the South Sudanese conflicts appear to welcome the "opportunity for a clean slate" with the Trump Administration. In particular, South Sudanese opposition leader Riek Machar suggested that he shares with President Trump the “anti-establishment attitude” of “a fellow political outsider” while his wife suggested that former U.S. officials “failed the people of South Sudan” because of its insistence to recognize a government of unity that is not united. Other observers agreed that many South Sudan leaders were "clinging to the hope that...the Trump administration will allow them to continue to escape acting responsibly" and the U.S. Congress should “send a powerful signal that atrocities must end and that justice will prevail in South Sudan,” or “zero-tolerance policy” for atrocities committed by any side. U.S. military expertise may be helpful in ensuring that the limited assets, such as helicopters, are more effectively utilized in support of the UNMISS priorities.

b. **UN and Other International Partners.** Given the pre-2013 mandated emphasis on state-building and developing the military and security capacities of the TGNU, the UN and other international partners are perceived by many of the population within the country (and some in the international community) as “pro-government” and, therefore, not neutral or impartial. Ironically, it is seen as anti-Government by the TGNU. Despite—or because of—these varying perceptions, it is imperative for the UN’s long-term credibility—in country and elsewhere—to meet the challenges of the mandate while demonstrating its
traditional neutrality. The authorized RPF, while obviously necessary to protect civilians and enforce the mandate, further tests the UN’s credibility as an impartial party to the peace process:

The non-consent of a host government to the deployment of a peacekeeping operation makes the success of that operation virtually impossible. Peacekeepers are not war fighters. Even contingents with a robust and aggressive mandate like the proposed Regional Response [sic] Force cannot mount an invasion of a sovereign country. Rather, they need the consent and cooperation of the government to move in troops and equipment; this includes things like authorizing visa requests, freedom of movement, and a general status of forces agreement. Without consent, a peacekeeping mission can hardly get off the ground. The logistics are impossible…This means that the real success of this new resolution will be in the diplomatic space. That is, the success or failure of this new mission is contingent on the ability of the international community to compel South Sudan’s compliance with the resolution. This can include threatening sanctions or other punitive measures if it does not comply. Or, it can entail behind-the-scenes diplomatic maneuvering by governments that wield some influence with Salva Kiir. Whatever the case, this new resolution will be only a fig leaf of a solution unless diplomatic pressure is brought to bear on the government of South Sudan sufficient to convince it to cooperate with this new force.

In 2017, a radical new idea emerged regarding the near-future of South Sudan: trusteeship. There is precedent for successful implementation of the concept—East Timor, Kosovo, and Bosnia. There are also “cautionary tales”—Somalia, Iraq. A Ugandan scholar suggested that the African Union could take the lead in a South Sudan transitional government with “none of the current South Sudanese politicians who have helped drag their nation into civil war…able to participate.”

Another observer suggests “new thinking and approaches are needed” to resolve the South Sudan conflict. Instead of using the traditional “big tent” approach—a process where all stakeholders meet to compromise on power-sharing arrangements—this observer suggests a number of separate but related measures that can be immediately implemented. Examples include ensuring violent acts have consequences on the actors (such as sanctions) and lobby for an informal arms embargo (since a formal embargo has proven problematic).

c. South Sudan. Several other observers reinforce the recommendations and priorities of the Security Council resolutions. However, there are a few additional recommendations to consider:

- The role of various T/PCCs in the greater region influences the perception of the UNMISS by the population and the warring factions. South Sudan persons may be distrustful of closer relationships with the security forces of neighboring states that may be part of UNMISS or other regional peace-facilitating initiatives. Lessons from Somalia could be useful to ensure that from the start, there are robust mechanisms in place to ensure accountability of all personnel operating under the UNMISS banner and a clear communication strategy of purpose and intentions.

- Utilize all aspects of civil society to leverage “peacemaking and reconciliation”—especially the churches—as “they are the only institutions with a reach in all corners of the country” and “they also have the patience and stamina to stay engaged when national and international actors have long left.”
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